UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,431	01/19/2006 Yoshimichi Kawai		52433/834	7614	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 10/07/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER			
ONE BROADY		HOLLOWAY, JASON R			
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/565,4		KAWAI ET AL.				
		Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
			HOLLOWAY	4165				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat				⊥ ddress			
Period fo								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no er cation. ary period will apply and w by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIC vent, however, may a reply be t will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror plication to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this o IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
	Passansive to communication(s) filed s	on 10 January 201	06					
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 January 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	, ·			rosecution as to the	o morite ie			
J)الــا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex pane Q	uayie, 1900 C.D. 11, 4	100 O.G. 210.				
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 January 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-19-2006 and 4-23-2007</u> .		6) Other:	г асент Аррисации				
	·							

Application/Control Number: 10/565,431 Page 2

Art Unit: 4165

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is a first Office Action Non-Final rejection on the merits.

Claims 1-6 are currently pending and have been considered below.

Drawings

1. Figures 10(a), 10(b), 11(a), and 11(b) should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 10 line 22, the word "protruding" should be changed to the word --protrude--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 3 the phrase "in that in which" should be changed to --in which--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper

Application/Control Number: 10/565,431 Page 3

Art Unit: 4165

dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The Method claim 6 dependent from claim 1 which a product therefore does not further limit the parent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 5, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 6, the phrase "characterized by erecting through vertical studs continuing to an upper story are erected on the ground." Is indefinite because it is unclear what is being erected on the ground because the sentence is improper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perrin (5,867,964).

Art Unit: 4165

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Perrin teaches constructing a structural framework by assembling vertical frame studs (4, 48) and wall panels (2) erected on a foundation (abstract lines 12-14) and a floor panel (54), characterized in that the vertical frame studs provided at intersections of the wall panels and corners are through studs (4, 48) continuing to upper stories (figures 4, 14, 15), the wall panels (2) are prepared by attaching a face member (2) to a wall frame (24) preparing by assembling light gage channels made from sheet steel into a rectangular form (figures 1-10; column 3 lines 46-50), and the walls (2) of an upper story (2) are constructed by connecting the wall panels (2) for the upper story (see figure 15) after the walls (2) of a lower story have been constructed by connecting the wall panels for the lower story to said through vertical frame studs (48).

Regarding claim 2, Perrin teaches girder walls and party walls (figure 14) are constructed by placing said through vertical frame studs (48) in the thickness of the wall panels (2) (figure 1) by forming the vertical frame studs (4, 48) into a rectangular cross section and joining the wall panels (2) to all sides of the rectangle by means of fasteners (as illustrated in figures 1, 4, 14, and 15).

Regarding claim 4, Perrin teaches the through vertical frame studs (4, 48) are made of steel sections, wood or steel-reinforced concrete (column 3 lines 47-50).

Regarding claim 5, Perrin teaches the vertical frame studs (4, 48) and wall panels (2) are joined by using fasteners such as drill screws, bolts and one-side bolts (column 6 lines 34-43).

Application/Control Number: 10/565,431 Page 5

Art Unit: 4165

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perrin (5,867,964) in view of diGirolamo et al (5,113,631).

Regarding claim 3, Perrin teaches the wall panels (2) to be attached to the through vertical frame studs (4, 48) are disposed along the four sides of a rectangle that constitutes a room (figures 11, 14), the floor plate (54) is attached to floor joists (58, 60)

However, Perrin fails to explicitly disclose the upper edges of the wall panels of the lower story on two opposite sides are positioned lower than the upper edges of the wall panels of the lower story on the other two opposite sides, and two opposite sides of a floor panel formed by attaching a floor plate to floor joists are supported on the upper end of the two lower wall panels on two opposite sides.

diGirolamo et al. teaches a structural lightweight steel framing system where the upper edges of the wall panels of the lower story on two opposite sides are positioned lower than the upper edges of the wall panels of the lower story on the other two opposite sides, and two opposite sides of a floor panel formed by attaching a floor plate to floor joists are supported on the upper end of the two lower wall panels on two opposite sides (as illustrated in figure 18; the examiner construes the layout for the

remaining unseen two wall sides follows the same design as figure 18, which illustrates the wall in the foreground as being higher than the wall which supports the floor 220).

Therefore, from the teaching of diGirolamo et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flooring setup of Perrin to include the higher walls on one side and lower walls on the other side as taught by diGirolamo et al. in order to shorten construction time by not having to connect the floor slab to all four walls of the structure.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Parker et al. (6,625,937) teaches studs extending through multiple floors and party walls.

de Quesada (6,298,617) teaches high rise buildings with steel construction.

Goodson, Jr. (5,514,950) teaches a multileve building framing system with through studs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON HOLLOWAY whose telephone number is (571) 270-5786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6; Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynda Jasmin can be reached on 571-272-6782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4165

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JASON HOLLOWAY Examiner Art Unit 4165

JH

/Lynda Jasmin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4165